## MESSAG

## From the President of the United States, returning the Bank Bill, with his objections, &c.

Prom the President of the United States, returning the Bank

The Accused of the United States, returning the Bank

In the Accused of the United States, returning the Bank

In the Accused of the United States, and the Control of the United States, and the United

be no doubt. All its operations within, would be in the aid of the hostile fleets and armies without, controling our currency; receiving our public moneys, and holding thousands of our citizens in dependence, it would be more formidable and dangerous than the naval and military power of the encarry.

If we must have a Bank with private stockholders, every consideration of sound policy, and every impulse of American feeling, admonishes that it should be purely American. Its stockholders should be composed exclusively of our own citizens, who, at least, ought to be friendly to our government, and the stockholders are should be composed exclusively of our own citizens, who, at least, ought to be friendly to our government, and the stockholders are such to the stockholders, possessing the powers and privileges granted by this act, subscriptions for two hundred millions of dollars, could be readily obtained. Instead of sending abroad the stock of the Bank, in which the government must deposit its funds, and on which it must rely to sustain its credit in times of emergency, it would rather seen to be expedient to prohibit its sale to aliens under penalty of absolute forfeiture.

Constitutionality in all a compens ought to be considered as settled by precedent, and by the decision of the Supreme Court. To this conclusion, I cannot assent.—Mere precedent is a dangerous source of authority, and should not be reguarded as deciding questions of constitutional power, except where the acquiescence of the people and the States can be considered as well settled. So far from this being the case on this subject, an argument against flue Bank might be based on precedent. One Congress, in 1791 decided in favor of a Bank; another in 1811 decided against it. One Congress in 1815, decided against it. One Congress in the States can be considered as well settled. So far from this being the case on this subject, an argument against flue Bank might be based on precedent. One Congress, it is outhority over Congress that the Congress, the

States,

The government of the United States have no constitutional power to purchase lands within the States, except "for the erection of forts, magazines, assensis, dock-yards, and other needful buildings," and even for these objects only "by the consent of the legislature of the State in which the same shall be." By making themselves etockholders in the Bank, and granting to the corporation the power to purchase lands for other purposes, they assume a power not stanted in the constitution, and grant to others what they

gairs not the degree of incurrency, would be to a shall be transloss depictively ground."

It is not account to the source of the control of

sery," to make it the fiscal agent of the Treasury. If they were, the exaction of a hous for them would not be "proper,"

It is maintained by some that the Bank is a means of executing the constitutional power "to coin money and regulate the value thereof."—Congress have established a mint to coin money, and passed laws to regulate the value thereof.

—Congress have established a mint to coin money, and passed laws to regulate the value thereof.

—The money so coined, with its value so regulated, and such foreign coins as Congress may adopt, are the only currency known to the Constitution. But if they have other power to regulate the currency, it was conferred to be reported with their power for a term of years, during which the constitution is the stablished power to a conferred parted with their power for a term of years, during which the Constitution is a dead letter. It is neither necessary nor proper to transfer its Legislature powers to a Bank, and therefore unconstitutional.

By its silence, considered in connexion with the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of Mc Culloch against the State of Maryland, this act takes from the States the power to tax portion of the Banking business carried on within their limits, in subversion of one of the strongest barriers which secured them against federal encroachments. Bank-ing, like farming, manufacturing, or any other occupation or decision of the conference of the strongest barriers which secured them against federal encroachments. Bank-ing, like farming, manufacturing, or any other occupation or originally derived from the laws. Ever follow which is not originally derived from the laws.

Ever follow which is not originally derived from the laws.

Ever follow which is not originally derived from the laws.

Ever follow which is not originally derived from the laws.

Ever follow which is not originally derived from the laws.

Ever follow which is not originally derived from the laws.

Ever follow which is not originally derived from the laws.

Ever follow wh

the semants and the formation of that instrument, it was reserved without qualification.

The principle is connecled, that the States cannot rightfully tax the operations of the general government. They cannot tax the money of the gavernment deposted in the State Banks, nor the agency of those banks in reading it, but will any man maintain that their mere selection to perform that the contract of the state Banks and the state Banks and the state Banks and their ordinary business from State taxation. Had the United States and their ordinary business from State taxation. Had the United States have to keep and transonit their funds, would it have deprived a private Banks to be a proper state of establishing a Bank at Blindelphis, employed a private Banks to the keep the state of the state Banks and the state of the state Banks and the state of the state

surrender of the right to raise wrevenue from imports and exports, draw all the maney accessary for the support of their governments and the manineman of their independence. There is no more appropriate, subject of taxation than banks, banking and bank stocks, and none to which the States could more particulated by the subject of the programment of the

and the potent more powerfal, the humble members of society, the farmers, mechanics, and absorters, who have neither thetime nor the mons of securious mechanics and absorters, who have neither thetime nor the mons of securious contracts. There are no necessary orbit in government.

There are no necessary orbit in government, the veile exist only in its absues. If it would conline sizelf to equal protection, and, as Heaven does it rains, above to favor about the contract of the results of the resu

WASHINGTON, July 10, 1832.

HERALD OFFICE.